

From: [Foti, James \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Dworkin, Morris J. \(Fed\)](#); [cryptopubreviewboard](#); [Barker, Elaine B. \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal
Date: Thursday, September 16, 2021 12:13:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

[@Barker, Elaine B. \(Fed\)](#)

Search will give them some results (although it may take some digging), but this information is also included on each of the publication details for 800-15, -25, and -32. If you feel that a link to the withdrawal announcement is important to include on any of the crypto group's project pages, then you can work with Sara to add them.

Jim

From: Dworkin, Morris J. (Fed) <morris.dworkin@nist.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2021 11:33 AM
To: [cryptopubreviewboard](#) <cryptopubreviewboard@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

Thanks, everyone, for taking care of this.

MD

From: "Barker, Elaine B. (Fed)" <elaine.barker@nist.gov>
Date: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 at 10:04 AM
To: "Foti, James (Fed)" <james.foti@nist.gov>, "Celi, Christopher T. (Fed)" <christopher.celi@nist.gov>, [cryptopubreviewboard](#) <cryptopubreviewboard@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

Looks OK to me. Do we have anywhere to post this information for access when someone searches for PKI information and these documents in particular? It needs to be easy to find.

Elaine

From: "Foti, James (Fed)" <james.foti@nist.gov>
Date: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 at 9:25 AM
To: "Celi, Christopher T. (Fed)" <christopher.celi@nist.gov>, [cryptopubreviewboard](#) <cryptopubreviewboard@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

I updated [Monday's announcement](#) on CSRC by adding the original publication years after the report numbers and incorporating a quote from the 8/4 announcement with links to current info at <https://idmanagement.gov/>. Hopefully that extra context will help.

From: Celi, Christopher T. (Fed) <christopher.celi@nist.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:38 PM
To: cryptopubreviewboard <cryptopubreviewboard@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

I thought the announcement was pretty clear, and we even pointed to updated resources for PKI... This is a bit sensationalist... My gut reaction is to just ignore and carry on.

Chris

From: Sonmez Turan, Meltem (Fed) <meltem.turan@nist.gov>
Date: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 1:10 PM
To: cryptopubreviewboard <cryptopubreviewboard@nist.gov>
Subject: FW: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

FYI – Regarding the withdrawal of old PKI standards

From: Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 12:57 PM
To: Ferraiolo, Hildegard (Fed) <hildegard.ferraiolo@nist.gov>; Rigopoulos, Kristina G. (Fed) <kristina.rigopoulos@nist.gov>; Wilson, Riley A. (Fed) <riley.wilson@nist.gov>
Cc: Scholl, Matthew A. (Fed) <matthew.scholl@nist.gov>; Regenscheid, Andrew R. (Fed) <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>; Foti, James (Fed) <james.foti@nist.gov>; Dworkin, Morris J. (Fed) <morris.dworkin@nist.gov>; Sonmez Turan, Meltem (Fed) <meltem.turan@nist.gov>; Cooper, David A. (Fed) <david.cooper@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

Hi, Hildy,

Yes. That is the reason of withdrawal. We are not killing PKI. This is obviously a misinterpret. It just ignores our announcement. PKI is still needed for PQC. I do not know whether we shall post a response on LinkedIn, though. I am not sure how much NIST has been using LinkedIn for public announcement/response. Anyone knows?

Lily

From: "Ferraiolo, Hildegard (Fed)" <hildegard.ferraiolo@nist.gov>
Date: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 at 10:03 AM
To: "Rigopoulos, Kristina G. (Fed)" <kristina.rigopoulos@nist.gov>, "Wilson, Riley A. (Fed)" <riley.wilson@nist.gov>
Cc: Matthew Scholl <matthew.scholl@nist.gov>, "Regenscheid, Andrew R. (Fed)"

<andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>, Lily Chen <lily.chen@nist.gov>

Subject: RE: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

Riley,

I would suggest state that it the publications are out of date and point to the rationale for the withdraw. <https://csrc.nist.gov/news/2021/proposal-to-withdraw-sp-800-15-sp-800-25-sp-800-32>.

Matt/Andy/Lily: Are you okay with this response? Or do we need to response at all?

Hildy

From: Rigopoulos, Kristina G. (Fed) <kristina.rigopoulos@nist.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 8:49 AM

To: Wilson, Riley A. (Fed) <riley.wilson@nist.gov>

Cc: Ferraiolo, Hildegard (Fed) <hildegard.ferraiolo@nist.gov>

Subject: RE: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

Hi Riley,

Looping in Hilde because I think she may be the right person to give thoughts on PKI stuff. Hilde, are there others we should loop in?

Thanks,

Kristina

From: Wilson, Riley A. (Fed) <riley.wilson@nist.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 8:07 AM

To: Rigopoulos, Kristina G. (Fed) <kristina.rigopoulos@nist.gov>

Cc: Wilson, Riley A. (Fed) <riley.wilson@nist.gov>

Subject: Commentary on Special Pub Withdrawal

Hi Kristina,

We were mentioned in [this LinkedIn post](#) overnight. Do you think it warrants a response? If so, would you mind connecting me with the POC for this work so we can craft one?



Max S. • 3rd+

CSO | CISO | SecOps | AppSec | GRC | Advisory
14h • 🌐

...

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is killing #PKI? Because it is still too complex to implement or in preparation for the age of #quantumcomputing?

#infosec #cybersecurity #cryptography #encryption

Withdrawal of NIST Special Publications 800-15, 800-25, and 800-32

csrc.nist.gov • 1 min read

Three NIST Special Publications are being withdrawn, effective immediately: SP 800-15, SP 800...

Thanks!

Best,
Riley

Riley Wilson

Writer-Editor (Social Media)

Public Affairs Office

National Institute of Standards and Technology

W: ~~(301) 975-3790~~ Until Further Notice: (202) 570-9897

riley.wilson@nist.gov